area they may look into the working of the movement there because it may be of some use for those who were trying to introduce the same system of control credit to finance the agriculturists. As you are aware, the three banks in Hassan, Mandya and Shimoga have just been started and it is the purpose of these banks to finance the cultivators and this work will be taken up very shortly with the coming of the *Moongari* rains ; and the Government would be in need of all the help that can be given by non-official members and others. So, whenever you are in Bellary, I request you to kindly look into the work that is going on there.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That the Mysore Co-operative Societies (Extension of Application to Bellary) Bill, 1954, be passed."

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, to supplement what has been said by the Hon'ble Minister in charge, I wish to say that I am glad to hear both from the Chair and also from the Minister that the co-operative system is working better.....

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—I did not say 'better'.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He did not use the word 'better'.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—....that the co-operative system is working satisfactorily in the transferred area. That system perhaps is a little different from what it is here. We are glad that Dr. Nagan Gowda, who comes from that area and is very well experienced in the co-operative movement, will study the working of the movement as it is obtaining here and will try to find out the defects if any and come with a comprehensive proposal before this House as to the various improvements that have to be effected. I suggest that he should come forward with such a measure like that and with fresh proposals that will tend to the improvement of the co-operative movement in the State and I can assure him that he will have the co-operation of all the Members of this House in this laudable movement.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore Co-operative Societies (Extension of Application to Bellary) Bill, 1954, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE ALIENATED VILLAGES (PROTECTION OF TENANTS AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS) (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1954.

Motion to consider.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—Sir, I beg to move :—

"That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Bill, 1954, be taken into consideration."

Sir, as we all know in the year 1950 an Ordinance was promulgated to protect the interests of tenants who were cultivating the alienated holdings. To replace that ordinance, an Act was passed in the year 1950. That Act was in force up to the 31st December 1952. Afterwards, the Act X of 1953 was passed to extend the operation of the Act up to the end of December 1953. As the Legislature was not in Session, an Ordinance had to be promulgated. The present Bill is brought before the House to replace this Ordinance.

Mr. SPEAKER.—On which date was the Ordinance passed ? Hon'ble Members will put that question.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The Ordinance was passed on the 31st December 1953. This is a very simple measure. I do not think there will be any controversy on the Bill, and I commend this measure for the approval of this House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants) and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Bill, 1954, be taken into consideration."

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Sir, I am greatly surprised by the way the Government of Mysore are trying to bring legislation into force. It is really astonishing that this simple measure should have been promulgated and enforced by an Ordinance. It looks as if the Government wants to rule the country by Ordinances and dictates and Firmans!! This 1950 Act, as is best known to the Minister in charge, would be in force till the end of 1953. When such was the case, he should have brought an amendment to that effect at the last Assembly and made it applicable or keep it in force till 1954. Instead of bringing in such an amendment during the last Session, he resorts to the ordinance. I really cannot understand this resort of the Government to ordinances.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I will answer in such a way that you can understand.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—We are not opposing the amendment Bill. The point is the way in which the Government wants to rule. I really cannot understand this ordinance. The days of ordinances must go and must cease. However simple and however beneficial the measure before us may be we will have to oppose a memsure of this nature. I should make it clear that we are not opposing the spirit of the principle underlying this Bill. But we are only opposing the Ordinance-making idea that has been restored to by the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ನಾವು ನಿನ್ನೆ ಕೂಡ ನಮ್ಮಾರದವರು ಕಾಲ ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಒಂದು ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದೆವು. ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ, ಅಂಥ ದರದು ಹೇಗೆ ಇತ್ತು ಎಂದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇಂಥ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಇಂಥ ದಿವಸ ಲ್ಯಾಪ್ಸ್ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಮೈಮರೆತಿರತಕ್ಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವು ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದರೂ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಡ್ಯೂಡೇಟು ಇಂಥ ದಿನ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ, ಅಷ್ಟರೊಳಗೆ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆ ಸೇರುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೇ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬೇಹುಷಾರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೂ ಆಶ್ಚರ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಎಷ್ಟು ಹೇಳಿದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಸುಖವಲ್ಲ. ಮಾತೆತ್ತಿದರೆ ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್ ಹೊರಡಿಸುವುದು ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವ? ಇಂಥ ನಡೆವಳಿಕೆ ನಡೆಸುವುದು ಏಕೆ ? ಅಂಥ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿದ್ದರೆ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಕರೆದು ಇನ್ನೂ ಅನೇಕ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅದರ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲೇ ನಡೆಸ ಬಹುದು. ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದೊಂದು ಸಾರಿ ಈ ರೀತಿ renew ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್ ಹೊರಡಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಬಹಳ ನಾಚಿಕೆಗೇಡಿನ ಕೆಲಸ. ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಮುಂದಾದರೂ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ —ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡರು, ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಮುಲ್ಕ ಗೋವಿಂದರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು ಇವರ ಭಾಷಣ ಕೇಳಿ ಆಶ್ಚರ್ಯವಾಯಿತು. ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡುವುದು ತಪ್ಪು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಾವ ಸದಸ್ಯರೂ ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆಡಳಿತ ನಡೆಸುವುವವರು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಬಿದ್ದಾಗ ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲೇ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲೂ ಇದು ಬಹಳ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ, ಸಾವಿರಾರು ಜನ ರೈತರ ಹಿತವನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ. ಇಂಥ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಂದು ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದರೆ ಏನು ಅನಾಹುತವಾಯಿತು ? ನಾಚಿಕೆಗೇಡಿನ ಕೆಲಸ ಆದದ್ದೇನು ? ಇದಕ್ಕೇನಾದರೂ ಅರ್ಥ ಇದೆಯೆ! ನನಗಂತೂ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. I would resort to hundreds of Ordinances to protect the interests of the down trodden and the poor.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—You are forgetful; that is what we say.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಇದು ಮೈಮರೆತಿರತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರವಲ್ಲ. ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಸೇರಬಹುದೆಂಬ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಇತ್ತು. ಆ ನಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ತರಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಮನನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೆವು. ಕಾರಣಾಂತರದಿಂದ ಸೇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕೇ ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧಿವೇಶನವನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದೂ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಇನಾಂ ಮಸೂದೆ ಈ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆಯಲ್ಲದೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಸಾಸನಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೂ ಕೂಡ ಬಂದಿತ್ತು. ಅದರ ಚರಿತ್ರೆ ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇನಾಂ ಮಸೂದೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಕಾನೂನು ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು; ಕಾರಣಾಂತರದಿಂದ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದಕ್ಕೇ ಈ ಒಂದು ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ತಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಯಾವ ಅನಾಹುತವೂ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಾರಿಗೂ ತೊಂದರೆ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದೇ ಆಕ್ಟು 1951 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಿಂದ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೆ 1955ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್‌ವರೆಗೂ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಏನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಲಲ್ಲ.

ಅನಾಹುತವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಂದು ಖರ್ಚೂ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಭಯಾಕ್ರಾಂತರಾಗುವುದು ಬೇಡ ಎಂದು ಮನವಿಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಮನೂದೆಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಬೆಂಬಲ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Bill, 1954, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses 2 and 3. The question is :

"That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1. The question is :

"That Clause 1 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Title and Preamble. The question is :

"That the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

The Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Bill, 1954, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Bill, 1954, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN (Chamarajpet).—The next Bill may be taken up after lunch, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—I suggest that the Mysore Village Panchayets and District Boards (Amendment) Bill taken up first and afterwards the Madras District Boards (Amendment) Bill be taken up, because our attitude on the second Bill depends upon what happens on the first Bill.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—Sir, this Bill is a very small one. There is already an Act in Madras which is in force in Bellary. That expires by the end of March 1954. Therefore, to extend the operation of that Act we have brought this.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So, we shall rise now for lunch and meet at 3-30, and in the meanwhile, the Hon'ble Minister may decide as to which Bill may be taken up first.

The House adjourned for Lunch at Fifty Minutes past Two of the Clock and reassembled at Thirty Minutes past Three of the Clock.

[Mr. SPEAKER in the Chair.]

MYSORE VILLAGE PANCHAYETS AND DISTRICT BOARDS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1954.

Motion to consider.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Village Panchayets and District Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1954, be taken into consideration."

The District Boards at present functioning in the State were constituted in the year 1947, more than six years ago. The elections were held under the Act of 1926 under limited franchise. We all know that a committee